

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 grains 22313-1450 www.wspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,593	03/07/2005	Orhun K Muratoglu	49931-0102	2838
61263 7590 97/02/2008 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, N.W.,			EXAMINER	
			HAUTH, GALEN H	
SUITE 400 SOUTH WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4111	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/518,593 MURATOGLU ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit GALEN HAUTH -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 June 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)\(\times\) Claim(s) 1-6.12.15.16.43.47.48.59-61.140-146 and 174-176 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 140-146 and 174-176 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,12,15,16,43,47,48 and 59-61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsparson's Catent Drawing Review (CTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/28/2005.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 4111

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-6, 12, 15, 16, 43, 47, 48, and
 69-61 in the reply filed on 06/10/2008 is acknowledged. A telephone call was made to John Isacson on 06/11/2008 to confirm the election of the claims listed in the response due to a typing error. Claims 140-146 and 174-176 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-4, 6, 12, 15, 16, and 59-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merrill et al. (PN 5879400) in view of Ashby et al. (PN 5989472).
 - a. With regards to claim 1, Merrill teaches a method for forming a medical prosthesis for use in the body of ultra high molecular weight polyethylene

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/518,593

Art Unit: 4111

(abstract) in which a fabricated polyethylene article is irradiated using electron irradiation while at a temperature above the melting temperature (col 2 ln 30-50) to reduce the free radicals in the article (col 11 ln 27-29). Merrill does not teach that the initial fabricated polyethylene article is compression molded to another piece.

Ashby teaches a method for forming prosthetic component in which a b. metal backing is molded to a polymeric substance in which the metallic grill is embedded within the plastic material (abstract). Ashby teaches compression molding the metallic piece to ultra high molecular weight polyethylene to provide an excellent mechanical lock between the two parts (col 3 In 5-13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to compression mold a ultra high molecular weight polyethylene article taught by Merrill to a wire mesh as taught by Ashby, because Ashby teaches that the mesh is intended to provide ingrowth (col 3 ln 24-25) and both teach using ultra high molecular weight polyethylene for implants in hips and knees (col 3 ln 28-33 in Ashby, col 1 In 14-15 in Merrill) and provides and excellent mechanical lock between the two parts (col 3 ln 5-13). Merrill teaches that the polymeric substance used can be a machined or molded article (col 6 ln 12), so it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to compression mold the mesh taught by Ashby to the polyethylene while molding to shape the implant article, because doing so increases the efficiency of the process by using one molding step and provides an excellent mechanical

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/518,593

Art Unit: 4111

lock between the two parts (col 3 In 5-13 of Ashby). The claim as it stands reads on simultaneous heating and irradiation of the polyethylene.

- c. With regards to claim 2, Ashby (col 3 In 5) and Merrill (col 2 In 32) teach using a polyethylene powder, and Ashby teaches that the polyethylene is compression molded to the metallic back (col 3 In 5-13).
- d. With regards to claim 3, Ashby teaches that the metallic back serves to provide ingrowth or to be in contact with cement (col 3 In 24-27).
- e. With regards to claim 4, Merrill teaches forming hip and knee joints (col 1 In 14-15), and Ashby teaches forming patella, tibia, elbow, shoulder, and hip cup components (col 3 In 28-33).
- f. With regards to claim 6, Merrill teaches irradiating in a nitrogen inert environment (col 11 In 56-57).
- g. With regards to claim 12, Merrill teaches using a dose of 200 kGy (col 8 ln 37, 100 Rad is equal to 1 Gray, therefor 10 kGy is equal to 1 MRad, therefor 20 MRad is equal to 200 kGy).
- With regards to claim 15, Ashby teaches using a metallic backing for use in a patella component (col 2 in 44-45).
- With regards to claim 16, Ashby teaches that the article comprises a metallic mesh (col 2ln 54-55).
- j. With regards to claim 59, Merrill in view of Ashby teaches forming a composite article from compression molded ultra high molecular weight polyethylene with a metallic grid that is mechanically locked together (Ashby col

Art Unit: 4111

3 In 3-13), irradiating the article to remove free radicals (col 11 In 27-29) and heating the material above the melting temperature (col 2 In 30-50) as described in the rejection of claim 1.

- With regards to claim 60, Ashby teaches that the plastic and metal are mechanically locked together (col 3 in 12-13).
- With regards to claim 61, Merrill teaches that the article is sterilized (col 4 In 42-44).
- Claims 43 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merrill et al. (PN 5879400) in view of Ashby et al. (PN 5989472) and Johnson (PN 4971761)
 - a. With regards to claim 43, Merrill teaches a method for forming a medical prosthesis for use in the body of ultra high molecular weight polyethylene (abstract) in which a fabricated polyethylene article is irradiated using electron irradiation while at a temperature above the melting temperature (col 2 ln 30-50) to reduce the free radicals in the article (col 11 ln 27-29). The discussion of Merrill and Ashby of ¶ 4(a,b) above is incorporated herein. Merrill teaches that the article is sterilized (col 4 ln 42-44). Merrill in view of Ashby does not teach that the initial fabricated polyethylene article is compression molded to another piece, as for an additional limitation the piece is sterilized using gas.
 - b. Johnson teaches that gas sterilization using ethylene oxide is a well established procedure for biological sciences and health care professions (col 1 In 13-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/518,593

Art Unit: 4111

time the invention was made to sterilize the hybrid article of Merrill in view of Ashby with gas as taught by Johnson, because such is an art recognized method of sterilization as taught by Johnson (col 1 In 13-15, Johnson) and Merrill acknowledges providing a sterilization process (col 4 In 42-44, Merrill). The claim as it stands reads on simultaneous heating and irradiation of the polyethylene.

- With regards to claim 48, Merrill teaches that the article is heated in an inert environment of nitrogen, argon or helium (col 6 In 53-58).
- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merrill et al. (PN 5879400) in view of Ashby et al. (PN 5989472) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kaqiya et al. (PN 3894928).
 - a. Merrill in view of Ashby teaches a method for forming a cross-linked composite polyethylene article in which a metallic mesh is compression molded to polyethylene, irradiated and heated. Merrill in view of Ashby does not teach that the article is irradiated in an atmosphere containing 1% - 22% oxygen.
 - b. Kagiya teaches a method for cross-linking polyethylene through ionizing radiation (abstract) in which the radiation occurs in air (col 3 ln 5-6, air is 21% oxygen) in the presence of a small amount of acrylic monomer (col 1 ln 44-48) resulting in a article with excellent mechanical strength, and heat and chemical resistance (col 1 ln 18-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to irradiate the article of Merrill in view of Ashby in the air environment of Kagiya to produce and article with excellent mechanical strength, and heat and chemical resistance (col 1 ln 18-19).

Art Unit: 4111

 Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merrill et al. (PN 5879400) in view of Ashby et al. (PN 5989472) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Patel (PN 4164458).

- a. Merrill in view of Ashby teaches a method for forming a cross-linked composite polyethylene article in which a metallic mesh is compression molded to polyethylene, irradiated, heated. Merrill in view of Ashby and Johnson does not teach that the article is irradiated in an atmosphere containing 1% - 22% oxygen.
- b. Patel teaches a method for producing cross-linked polymer articles by subjecting thermoplastic cross-linkable polymers mixed with diacetylene to actinic radiation (abstract) in which polyethylene is irradiated with 1 MRad of gamma radiation at room temperature in air followed by heating the article in air to decay trapped free radicals (col 7 In 58-62, air is 21% oxygen). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to subject the article of Merrill in view of Ashby and Johnson to irradiation in air as taught by Patel as such is an art recognized technique for effective cross-linking of polyethylene articles.
- Claim 47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merrill et al. (PN 5879400) in view of Ashby et al. (PN 5989472) and Johnson (PN 4971761) as applied to claim 43 above, and further in view of Patel (PN 4164458).
 - a. Merrill in view of Ashby and Johnson teaches a method for forming a cross-linked composite polyethylene article in which a metallic mesh is

Art Unit: 4111

compression molded to polyethylene, irradiated, heated, and sterilized. Merrill in view of Ashby and Johnson does not teach that the article is heated in an atmosphere containing 1% - 22% oxygen.

b. Patel teaches a method for producing cross-linked polymer articles by subjecting thermoplastic cross-linkable polymers mixed with diacetylene to actinic radiation (abstract) in which polyethylene is irradiated with 1 MRad of gamma radiation at room temperature in air followed by heating the article in air to decay trapped free radicals (col 7 ln 58-62, air is 21% oxygen). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to subject the article of Merrill in view of Ashby and Johnson to heating in air as taught by Patel as such is an art recognized technique for effective cross-linking of polyethylene articles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 6 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Regarding claims 6 and 48, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,593

Art Unit: 4111

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GALEN HAUTH whose telephone number is (571)270-5516. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30am-5:00pm ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sam Yao can be reached on (571)272-1224. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GHH/

/Sam Chuan C. Yao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4111